





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,629	10/009,629 12/12/2001		Wilhelm Rademacher	50061	9694	
26474	7590	04/10/2002				
KEIL & W		· -	EXAMINER			
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036				PRYOR, ALTON	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1616	X	
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/009,629 Applicant(s)

Wilhelm et al

Examiner

Alton Pryor

Art Unit 1616



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 33 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu	7 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d	ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto	ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
	, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 12</u>	2, 2001
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·
13) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) 反 None of:	
1. 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents i	nave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received in Application No
application from the International B	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	itic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected, because formula I has improper valance at the Carbon Atom-1 position. See claim 1.

3. Claim 5 provides for the use of plants, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10009629 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a,b,e)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Basak et al (Acta Horticulturae, 2000, 514, pp. 41-50).

Basak teaches a method of applying calcium prohexadione to plum plants. Although
Basak does not teach that this method would result in increasing and qualitatively modifying the
content of the flavonoids and phenolic compounds in the plants, it is inherent that said
application would result in increasing and modifying the content of flavonoids and phenolic
compounds in the plants. This is inherent because the prior art as well as the instant invention
simply recite the application of calcium prohexadione onto said plants. The reference is
applicable because of the inventive entity on the reference differs from the inventive entity on
record for the instant invention. English translation of priority document may be used to
overcome this rejection.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyazawa et al (Brighton Crop Prot. Conf. -- Weeds, 1991, vol. 3, pp. 967-72).

Application/Control Number: 10009629

Art Unit: 1616

Miyazawa teaches a method of applying calcium prohexadione to wheat plants. Although Miyazawa does not teach that this method would result in increasing and qualitatively modifying the content of the flavonoids and phenolic compounds in the plants, it is inherent that said application would result in increasing and modifying the content of flavonoids and phenolic compounds in the plants. This is inherent because the prior art as well as the instant invention simply recite the application of calcium prohexadione onto said plants.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

Page 3

4/5/02